Appendix 2(a)

Appendix 2(a)		
PROPOSAL FORM FOR AGENDA ITEMS FOR SCRUTINY COMMITTEES		
NAME OF SCRUTINY COMMITTEE	Performance Scrutiny	
DATE OF MEETING / TIMESCALE FOR CONSIDERATION	March 2017	
TITLE OF REPORT	Library Service Standards and Performance	
1. Why is the report being proposed? (s also the checklist overleaf)	- To highlight Denbighshire's performance against National Standards and to seek Members' approval to the ongoing development of libraries as community hubs.	
2. What issues are to P scrutinised? U R	- Library Service performance against National Standards - Ongoing development of libraries as community hubs and Council One Stop Shops.	
O 3. Is it S necessary/desirable for witnesses to atto e.g. lead members, officers/external experts? 4. What will the		
committee achieve considering the report?		
5. Score the topic from – 4 on aims & priori and impact (see overleaf)*	1 0 Aims & Priorities Impact	
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
REPORTING PATH – wha the next step? Are Scrutiny's recommendati to be reported elsewhere	MALD (Museums Archives Libraries Division)	
AUTHOR	Arwyn Jones, Principal Librarian	

Please complete the following checklist:

	Yes	No
Is the topic already being addressed satisfactorily?		Х
Is Scrutiny likely to result in service improvements or other measurable benefits?		
Does the topic concern a poor performing service or a high budgetary commitment?		
Are there adequate resources / realistic possibility of adequate resources to achieve the objective(s)?		
Is the Scrutiny activity timely, i.e. will scrutiny be able to recommend changes to the service delivery, policy, strategy, etc?		
Is the topic linked to corporate or scrutiny aims and priorities?	Х	
Has the topic been identified as a risk in the Corporate Risk Register or is it the subject of an adverse internal audit or external regulator report?		Х

*The following table is to be used to guide the scores given:

Score	Aims & Priorities	Impact
0	No links to corporate/scrutiny aims and priorities	No potential benefits
1	No links to corporate/scrutiny aims and priorities but a subject of high public concern	Minor potential benefits affecting only one ward/customer/client group
2	Some evidence of links, but indirect	Minor benefits to two groups/moderate benefits to one
3	Good evidence linking the topic to both aims and priorities	Moderate benefits to more than one group/substantial benefits to one
4	Strong evidence linking both aims and priorities, and has a high level of public concern	Substantial community-wide benefits

SCORING

Aims & Priorities

	Ailiis & Frioritics				
4	Possible topic for Scrutiny –	Priority topic for Scrutiny – for			
	to be timetabled appropriately	urgent consideration			
3					
	Reject topic for Scrutiny –	Possible topic for Scrutiny – to			
2	topic to be circulated to	be timetabled appropriately			
	members for information				
1	purposes				

0 1 2 3 4 Impact